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## Example

You own a small firm producing construction materials for the EERC building. Your firm produces three materials: concrete, mortar, and grout. Producing one ton of each these materials requires the use of a limited resource, as shown in the following table:

| Resource | Concrete | Mortar | Grout | Availability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor | 1 hour | 2 hours | 2 hours | 20 hours |
| Blending time | 2 hours | 1 hour | 2 hours | 20 hours |
| Storage capacity | $2 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | $2 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | $20 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| Sales price | $\$ 20$ | $\$ 30$ | $\$ 40$ |  |
| Manufacturing cost | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 18$ | $\$ 28$ |  |

What is your optimal production strategy?

A first attempt at writing down the optimization problem is:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\max _{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}} & 10 x_{1}+12 x_{2}+12 x_{3} & \\
\text { s.t. } & x_{1}+2 x_{2}+2 x_{3} & \leq 20 \\
& 2 x_{1}+x_{2}+2 x_{3} & \leq 20 \\
& 2 x_{1}+2 x_{2}+x_{3} & \leq 20 \\
& x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} & \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

After putting the problem in standard form we have:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\min _{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}} & -10 x_{1}-12 x_{2}-12 x_{3} & \\
\text { s.t. } & x_{1}+2 x_{2}+2 x_{3}+x_{4} & =20 \\
& 2 x_{1}+x_{2}+2 x_{3}+x_{5} & =20 \\
& 2 x_{1}+2 x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{6} & =20 \\
& x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6} & \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

## Simplex method

(1) Identify an initial feasible basis $\left\{x_{1}^{B}, \ldots, x_{m}^{B}\right\}$.
(2) Calculate the reduced cost $\overline{c^{k}}=c^{k}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{B}^{-\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{A}^{k}$ for each nonbasic decision variable.
(3) If all of the reduced costs are nonnegative, the current basis is optimal. STOP.
(9) Otherwise, choose some nonbasic decision variable $x^{k}$ with a negative reduced cost to enter the basis.
(5) Set $x^{k}=\min _{i: \Delta x_{i}<0} x_{i} /\left|\Delta x_{i}\right|$; the basic variable leaving the basis is one where this minimum is obtained.
(6) Return to step 2.

By inspection, a feasible basis is $\left\{x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right\}$, which corresponds to the the extreme point $(0,0,0,20,20,20)$. We have

$$
\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore $\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{- 1}}=\mathbf{B}$ and the reduced cost vector is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\mathbf{c}}=\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
-10 & -12 & -12 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]- \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
-10 & -10 & -12 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The reduced cost of $x_{1}$ is negative, so we choose it to enter the basis. We calculate the change in the existing basic variables:

$$
\Delta \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{B}}=\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{1}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
2
\end{array}\right]
$$

Checking the ratio test, we see that $x_{4} / \Delta x_{4}=20, x_{5} / \Delta x_{5}=10$, and $x_{6} / \Delta x_{6}=10$, so one of $x_{5}$ and $x_{6}$ must leave the basis; let's assume that $x_{5}$ leaves, so the new basis is $\left\{x_{4}, x_{1}, x_{6}\right\}$. Now

$$
\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathbf{B}^{-\mathbf{1}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -0.5 & 0 \\
0 & 0.5 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The current solution is $\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{- 1}} \mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}10 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\overline{\mathbf{c}}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
-10 & -12 & -12 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right]-\quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}
{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & -10 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & -0.5 & 0 \\
0 & 0.5 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 0 \\
2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)} \\
2 & 2 & 1
\end{array} 0\right.
$$

The reduced cost of $x_{3}$ is negative, so we choose it to enter the basis. We calculate

$$
\Delta \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{B}}=\mathbf{B}^{-} \mathbf{1} A^{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -0.5 & 0 \\
0 & 0.5 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Checking the ratio test, we see that $x_{4} / \Delta x_{4}=10$ and $x_{1} / \Delta x_{1}=10$, so one of $x_{4}$ and $x_{1}$ must leave the basis; let's assume that $x_{4}$ leaves, so the new basis is $\left\{x_{3}, x_{1}, x_{6}\right\}$.

Now

$$
\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 2 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathbf{B}^{-\mathbf{1}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -0.5 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -1.5 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

so the current solution is $\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{- 1}} \mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 10\end{array}\right]$ and

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\overline{\mathbf{c}}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
-10 & -12 & -12 & 0 & 0
\end{array} 0\right.
\end{array}\right]--\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-12 & -10 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -0.5 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -1.5 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right) \text { } \begin{aligned}
& =\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & -4 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The reduced cost of $x_{2}$ is negative, so we choose it to enter the basis. We calculate

$$
\Delta \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{B}}=\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{1}} A^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -0.5 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -1.5 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
1 \\
2
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1.5 \\
-1 \\
2.5
\end{array}\right]
$$

Checking the ratio test, we see that $x_{3} / \Delta x_{3}=6.67$ and $x_{6} / \Delta x_{6}=4$, so $x_{6}$ must leave the basis, and the new basis is $\left\{x_{3}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$.

Now

$$
\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 2
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathbf{B}^{-\mathbf{1}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0.4 & 0.4 & -0.6 \\
-0.6 & 0.4 & 0.4 \\
0.4 & -0.6 & 0.4
\end{array}\right]
$$

so the current solution is $\mathbf{B}^{-\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ and

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\overline{\mathbf{c}}= & {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
-10 & -12 & -12
\end{array} 0\right.} \\
0 & 0
\end{array} 0\right]--\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-12 & -10 & -12
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0.4 & 0.4 & -0.6 \\
-0.6 & 0.4 & 0.4 \\
0.4 & -0.6 & 0.4
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right) \text { } \begin{aligned}
& =\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 3.6 & 1.6 & 1.6
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

All reduced costs are nonnegative, so the current basis is optimal.

The optimal solution is $\mathbf{x}^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$, and the optimal objective function value is $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{*}=-136$.

## SIMPLEX TABLEAU

The simplex tableau is a more convenient way to perform the computations needed by the simplex method.

The tableau is a table containing the following elements:

| $-Z$ | $\ldots$ | $c^{k}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{k}}$ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |
| $x_{i}^{B}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{B}^{-\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{k}}$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |


| $-Z$ | $\ldots$ | $c^{k}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{B}^{-\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{k}}$ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |
| $x_{i}^{B}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{B}^{-\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{k}}$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |

(1) There are $n+1$ columns and $m+1$ rows, numbered starting from zero.
(2) $\mathcal{T}_{i j}$ is the value in the $i$-th row and the $j$-th column.
(3) $\mathcal{T}_{00}$ is the negative of the objective function value.
(9) $\mathcal{T}_{0 j}$ is the reduced cost of $x_{j}$.
(5) $\mathcal{T}_{i 0}$ has the current value of the $i$-th basic variable.
(0) The other entries $\mathcal{T}_{i j}$ show the rate by which $x_{i}$ would decrease if $x_{j}$ is added to the basis.

After finding an initial feasible basis, all remaining steps of the simplex method can be computed using the tableau.
(1) Reduced costs for all variables are already shown in the first row.
(2) We can see by inspection whether reduced costs are all nonnegative, in which case we are done.
(3) If not, we can visually pick one of the $x_{k}$ with negative reduced cost to enter the basis.
(9) To see which variable leaves the basis, compare the ratio of the values in the zero-th column to the $k$-th column.
(5) Changing the basis, updating $\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{- 1}} \mathbf{A}$ and reduced costs.

All steps but the last are easy.

The initial tableau corresponding to the example problem is:

| 0 | -10 | -12 | -12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 20 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

By choosing $x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}$ as the initial basis, $\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{- 1}}=\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{B}}=\mathbf{0}$ so the tableau was easy to write down. Next class we'll see how we can start off in general.

| 0 | -10 | -12 | -12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 20 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The reduced cost of $x_{1}$ is negative, so choose it to enter the basis.

| 0 | -10 | -12 | -12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 20 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

In the ratio test, $\mathcal{T}_{10} / \mathcal{T}_{11}=20, \mathcal{T}_{20} / \mathcal{T}_{21}=10$, and $\mathcal{T}_{30} / \mathcal{T}_{31}=10$ so we could choose either $x_{5}$ or $x_{6}$ to leave the basis.

Since $x_{1}$ is entering the basis and $x_{5}$ is leaving, we want to make column $x_{1}$ of the tableau look like $x_{5}$ does currently.

We accomplish this with the following matrix row manipulations (performed in this order):

- Divide every element in the second row by 2.
- Add ten times the second row to the zero-th row.
- Subtract the second row from the first row.
- Subtract twice the second row from the third row.

| 0 | -10 | -12 | -12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 20 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 100 | 0 | -7 | -2 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 1.5 | 1 | 1 | -0.5 | 0 |
| 10 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 1 |

This is the end of the first iteration.

In general, to change the basis, assume that $x_{k}$ is entering the basis, and that $x_{\ell}$ is leaving.
(1) Divide every element in the $\ell$-th row by $\mathcal{T}_{\ell k}$. This sets $\mathcal{T}_{\ell k}=1$
(2) For each of the other rows $i$, multiply the $\ell$-th row by $\mathcal{T}_{i k}$ and subtract it from the $i$-th row. This sets $\mathcal{T}_{i k}=0$ for all $i \neq \ell$.

Here is the tableau at the end of the first iteration:

| 100 | 0 | -7 | -2 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 1.5 | 1 | 1 | -0.5 | 0 |
| 10 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 1 |

(1) The basic variables correspond to the columns which form the identity matrix $\left(x_{4}, x_{1}, x_{6}\right)$
(2) The reduced costs of $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ are still negative, so we can choose one of them to enter the basis.

Say we chose $x_{3}$ to enter the basis. Based on the ratio test, we force $x_{4}$ (row 1) to leave the basis.

| 100 | 0 | -7 | -2 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 1.5 | 1 | 1 | -0.5 | 0 |
| 10 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 1 |

(1) Divide the first row by 1 (no change)
(2) Add twice the first row to the zero-th row
(3) Subtract the first row from the second row
(9) Add the first row to the third row

Here is the tableau at the end of the second iteration:

| 120 | 0 | -4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 1.5 | 1 | 1 | -0.5 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 2.5 | 0 | 1 | -1.5 | 1 |

(1) The basic variables correspond to the columns which form the identity matrix $\left(x_{3}, x_{1}, x_{6}\right)$
(2) The reduced cost of $x_{2}$ is still negative, so it enters the basis.

Since $x_{2}$ is entering the basis, the ratio test forces $x_{6}$ (row 3) to leave the basis.

| 120 | 0 | -4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 1.5 | 1 | 1 | -0.5 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 2.5 | 0 | 1 | -1.5 | 1 |

(1) Divide the third row by 2.5
(2) Add four times the third row to the zero-th row.
(3) Subtract 1.5 times the third row to the first row.
(9) Add the third row to the second row.

Here is the tableau at the end of the third iteration:

| 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | -0.6 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.4 | -0.6 | 0.4 |

All reduced costs are nonnegative, so this is the optimal solution. The basis is $x_{3}=4, x_{1}=4, x_{2}=4$ and the objective function value is -136 .

